

**Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary**

Application No.

09/916,876

Applicant(s)

REISFELD ET AL.

Examiner

Kishor Mayekar

Art Unit

1753

**All Participants:**(1) Kishor Mayekar.(2) Dana Bigelow.**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 31 July 2003**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Applicant did not amend the claims below which were rejected under the 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph of 35 USC 112 by the examiner in the first Office action.*

Claims discussed:

29, 33, 36 and 38

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***to correct minor informalities in the above claims by an examiner's amendment.***Part III.**☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)